1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	WESTERN DIVISION	
11	CHARLES KELLER COOPER,	No. CV 17-2639-FMO (AGR)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED
14	TOMS, et al.,	WITHOUT PREJUDICE
15	Defendants.	
16		}
17	In this civil rights action, on May 22, 2019, Plaintiff filed a notice of change of address to	
18	13361 Central Road, Apple Valley, California 92308. Plaintiff advised that, although he is	
19	homeless, mail sent to this address "will get to him every two weeks." (Dkt. No. 132.)	
20	On June 28, 2019, the court issued an Order Re Discovery and Motions that set the	
21	discovery and motions deadlines in this case. (Dkt. No. 139.)	
22	The court's order was returned as undeliverable by the postal service on July 16, 2019.	
23	(Dkt. No. 143.)	
24	Local Rule 41-6 requires that a Plaintiff proceeding pro se must keep the Court apprised	
25	of the Plaintiff's current address. In addition, Local Rule 41-6 provides that "the Court may	
26	dismiss the action with or without prejudice for want of prosecution" if the Plaintiff fails to notify	
27	the Court in writing of Plaintiff's current address within 15 days after mail is returned as	
28	undeliverable by the Postal Service.	

Plaintiff has failed to notify the court in writing of Plaintiff's current address within 15 days after mail is returned as undeliverable as required in Local Rule 41-6.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, on or before **September 9, 2019**, Plaintiff shall show good cause, if there be any, why this action should not be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute and/or failure to comply with a court order. **Filing a Notice of Current Address on or before September 9, 2019, shall be deemed compliance with this Order to Show Cause.** 

If Plaintiff does not timely file a Notice of Current Address on or before

September 9, 2019, or otherwise respond to this Order to Show Cause, the court may recommend that the action be dismissed without prejudice for plaintiff's failure to prosecute and/or failure to comply with a court order. See Link v. Wabash R.R., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S. Ct. 1386, 8 L. Ed. 2d 734 (1962).

DATED: August 9, 2019

ALICIA G. ROSENBERG UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

alicia G. Kosenberg